IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.BASHEER

TUESDAY, THE 10TH JULY 2007 / 19TH ASHADHA 1929

WP(C).No. 21163 of 2007(T)

PETITIONER:

V. SADANANDAN,
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR (AUDIT), MANNARKKAD,
PALAKKAD DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.M.M.HUSAIN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES,
OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES,
BEHIND SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF CO-OPERATION, SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.ANU SIVARAMAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 10/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

A.K.BASHEER, J.

W.P.(C)No.21163 OF 2007

Dated this the 10th day of July, 2007

JUDGMENT

Petitioner who is working as Assistant Registrar in the Co-operation Department takes exception to the order of his transfer from Thiruvananthapuram to Mannarkkad. He has raised several contentions in support of his plea for quashing the order which according to him, is totally illegal and vitiated. One specific contention is that he being a member belonging to the Scheduled Caste community, he has to be allowed to continue at least for five years in Thiruvananthapuram.

2. Anyhow, I do not propose to deal with any of the contentions raised by the petitioner at this stage, in view of the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner at the Bar. He submits that petitioner will be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent no.1 to take a decision on Ext.P3 representation in which petitioner is stated to have highlighted all his grievances and the relevant aspects

of the issue. Learned Government Pleader submits that if Ext.P3 has been preferred, an appropriate decision thereon will be taken without any delay.

3. In the above facts and circumstances, writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.1 to consider and pass orders on Ext.P3 strictly on its merit and in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. Needless to mention that petitioner shall be afforded sufficient opportunity to be heard before any decision is taken in the matter. Petitioner shall produce a copy of the writ petition along with a certified copy of the judgment before respondent no.1 for compliance.

Writ petition is disposed of as above.

A.K.BASHEER, JUDGE

jes